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Experimental paradigms

  - finds in favor of an effect of peer review on total number of revisions

  - in general on the review-revise-submit procedure, but also checks effects of feedback type and finds no difference between self-review, peer review, and instructor feedback. 80 psych students. Individually wrote papers introducing a communally-designed experiment.

  - 77 students, 4 treatment groups, write 2 research reports. Students who did peer review made more revisions. Students who received peer reviews made more revisions than those who only wrote reviews.

  - This is a study on L2 writing. People who gave feedback made more revisions than those who merely received it. 91 non-native students in nine writing classes at two proficiency levels.

  - Dissertation looking at different types of feedback within a peer review instructional paradigm. Probably good for lit background. Points out lack of dearth of scientific studies on the effects of peer review.

Anecdotal evidence

  - description of a complex peer review progression, complete with rationale, model reviews, class plans, and outcome exemplified through student work and evaluations

• Reid, Shelley. 2006. “Peer Review: Successful from the Start.” The Teaching Professor, October.
- benefits of peer review in the classroom. Short piece in a low-profile newsletter.

Tips, tricks, resources


  - I really liked this. Shows you the range of directions you can take with peer review. Gives very specific prompts and tips all around. Take a look at the attached PDF too.


  - great resource in FAQ format. Example guidelines, peer feedback forms, and practical tips and considerations.


  - good overview of the process of peer review, with lots of tips and examples (though from Geoscience). Also has a list of references you can check out.


  - a little brief in each individual section, but brings up good points and has some sample review prompts.


  - more example guidelines for peer review. Navigate to Archive > Guidelines for Peer Critiques.


  - written for an L2 crowd, but tips seem highly transferable. Short, to the point.


  - example course wiki for collaboration

• Also see Henry, Ledbetter 2011 from section above.

• Cornell ECommons has a number of assignment progressions involving peer review.

  - http://ecommons.library.cornell.edu/handle/1813/10813/simple-search?query=peer+review