OLD JAPANESE PARTICLES Bjarke Frellesvig

- 1. Particles.
- 2. Adverbial particles
 - 2.1 Case particles
 - 2.1.1 Main OJ case particles
 - 2.1.2 Obsolete and peripheral case particles
 - 2.2 Focus particles
 - 2.3 Restrictive particles
 - 2.4 Conjunctional particles
- 3. Sentence particles
 - 3.1 Final particles
 - 3.2 Interjectional particles
- 4. Complementizer.
- 5. Etymology
 - 5.1 Nominal sources.
 - 5.2 Verbal sources.
 - 5.2.1 Copula
 - 5.2.2 Roots of other verbs.
 - 5.3 External etymology

1. Particles.

Particles are bound postpositional grammatical words which attach to a host, minimally a word, to specify some grammatical function or relation. There is a close connection between grammar and particles. Some particles are mainly semantic, but most contribute to the syntax and/or pragmatics of an utterance. As the best studied OJ texts are poetry it is difficult to gain a complete picture of the grammatical systems in which the particles took part. The literary or rhetorical style employed in the OJ poetry means that it is full of exclamations, invocations, lamentations, etc. These are, of course, features of language use in any culture at any time, but the nature of our materials has skewed the view of the grammar of the OJ particles, many of which traditionally are glossed 'emphatic'.

The following classification of particles into six types is traditional (although other classifications are found): (a) case particles (kaku-joshi), (b) focus particles (kakari-joshi), (c) restrictive particles (fuku-joshi), (d) conjunctional particles (setsuzoku-joshi), (e) final particles (shuu-joshi), (f) interjectional particles (kantoo-joshi). Note that it is based first of all on functional criteria and that several particles belong in more than one class.

In Japanese school grammar, the part of speech known as joshi (助詞) 'auxiliary word' includes particles, but also a number of verbal inflectional endings. This is because Japanese part of speech classification traditionally is a

classification into morpheme types, not into word types. However, the two are different in that inflectional endings take part in forming a word whereas particles attach to a full word, phrase, or clause. Note, however, that there is some functional overlap between inflectional verbal endings on the one hand and conjunctional, final, and interjectional particles on the other, in that both contribute to the expression of modality and interclausal syntax. But note also that not all such inflectional endings, e.g. the Imperative formant -ye/-yo, are included among joshi in the traditional classification.

Limiting the above classification to particles proper (i.e. excluding inflectional endings) and with the addition of a class not provided in the school grammar, namely that of *complementizer*, the following grouping is by and large valid for OJ and the following stages of Japanese:

Adverbial particles (a-d above) attach to nouns or nominalized verbals or clauses, marking their host as an adverbial, more or less semantically determined, within a clause, either as a complement or adjunct noun phrase within a clause, or as a subordinate clause within a higher clause. (NB: Note that this characterization does not apply to all of the functions of the Genitive case particles, which basically and primarily are adnominal.)

Sentence particles (e-f) attach to verbal or nominal predicates to express the modality or illocutionary force of a sentence or utterance.

Complementizer marks reported speech.

2. Adverbial particles

2.1 Case particles attach to nouns and nominalised forms of verbs and adjectives, specifying grammatical relations within a clause. Although we speak of these OJ particles as case particles, it is clear that they do not yet form a fully developed case system comparable to that of NJ - or of languages with case inflection - nor do they reflect an inherited case system (see etymology). As in MJ, marking of core arguments, subject and object, is optional in OJ, but it is a noteworthy fact that OJ does not have means of marking a nominal explicitly as the subject of a main clause. Using familiar names for cases, the OJ case particles are as follows, divided into three groups on the basis of their use and productivity:

(1) OJ case particles

Main

Accusative wo

Genitive ga; no; tu

Dative ni

Ablative $ywori (\sim ywo \sim yuri \sim yu)$ Comitative toAblative

Obsolete

Nominative i

Genitive rwo; ro; na (~ da)

Emerging

Ablative kara Allative pye

2.1.1 Main OJ case particles.

Accusative wo is mainly used to mark direct and traversal objects. It is also used as a conjunctional particle and as an interjectional particle. When followed by the topic particle pa the resulting form is wo-ba.

Dative ni is the general oblique case, marking both argument and non-argument oblique nominals. The main uses are indirect object, allative, purposive, 1 agent, instrumental, locative, temporal. A variant nite is used in some of the peripheral functions, especially instrumental, locative, temporal.

Ablative ywori ~ ywo ~ yuri ~ yu are used about source of movement, comparison, material, and means: 'from, than, with'. There is no discernable difference in use between the four variants; in EMJ only the shape yori survived.

Comitative to is used as coordinative, comitative, and comparative: 'with, and, than'.

The Genitive primarily expresses an adnominal relation, subordinating one noun phrase to another. The two main productive Genitive markers are no and ga. They are to a large extent equivalent, but there is a number of differences in use between them. Some of these differences reflect that no in OJ as in all later stages of Japanese is a productive Adnominal form of the copula. As opposed to ga, no can thus have the meaning 'which is' and thus express a much wider range of relations.

Other differences, however, seem to indicate a systematic specialisation between ga and no as variant Genitive

¹ In this function also used in purpose-of-motion constructions after verb Infinitives, e.g. tumi ni ku 'come to pick (flowers)'.

markers: (a) Personal pronouns take qa (wa-qa 'mine', naqa 'yours', si-qa 'his', ta-qa 'whose') never no; demonstratives take no (ko-no 'this', so-no 'that') never ga (cf. pronouns). (b) When combining with some grammaticalized dependent nouns (and the dependent adjective goto-si 'be like, as if') through an intervening Genitive particle, rather than directly, the Adnominal verb form takes ga, not no, (3). This is opposed to the Nominalized verb form which usually takes no. (c) Finally, and famously, ga is said to be used with 'sentient nouns whose referent is someone close to the speaker or the person who dominates the narrative viewpoint', whereas no is used with 'exalted or indefinite animate nouns' (see Takeuchi 1999:159f who offers (4) as an illustrative example). As shown by Hirata, however, this differentiation in usage does not become fully developed until EMJ and there are ample counterexamples in OJ.

In addition to the adnominal function, both ga and no can be used to mark subjects in subordinate clauses (and in main clauses with the predicate in a conditioned Adnominal form (kakari-musubi, see 2.2 below)), (3).

- (2) wa ga mure-inaba (K 4)
 'when I go away'
- (3) puku kaze no miyenu ga gotoku,
 yuku midu no tomaranu gotoku (M 19.4160)
 'like the blowing wind is not visible,
 like flowing water does not cease'
- (4) titi-papa ga tame ni, moropito no tame ni (BS 1)
 'for the sake of father and mother, and for the sake of all
 people'

Tu which only survived into EMJ in lexicalized collocations appears somewhat fossilized already in OJ, in expressions like nipa-tu-tori 'garden-Gen-bird; chicken'. It is sometimes termed 'locative Genitive' as it often is found after nouns denoting some kind of place; this is, however, not likely to be an original feature of this particle which derives from a copula and which is also used to adnominalise adjectives and other words (see 5.2.1 and copula).

2.1.2 Obsolete and peripheral case particles
Genitives (attributives): The particle **rwo** had all but
disappeared from the language at the OJ stage. It may be
recovered from a few expressions like kamu-rwo-ki
'spirit-Gen-male' and kamu-rwo-mi 'spirit-Gen-female';
these words are also found in the shape kamu-ru-ki/-mi
indicating that rwo goes back to *ro which in the course
of mid vowel raising to ru had the intermediary shape

rwo. It is of some diachronic interest for its possible relation to the Adnominal formant -ru in the secondary verb classes. Another similar particle is **ro** found in a few lexicalized items such as woroti 'big snake' (< wo-ro-ti 'top, peak - Gen - fierce, powerful creature') and with the first person pronoun in Eastern OJ wa-ro 'my'.

An Genitive marker na is usually included in grammars, based on lexicalized forms such as ma-na-kwo 'eye-na-?child; eye(ball)' and ta-na-soko 'hand-na-bottom; palm of the hand', but note that Vovin suggests (1994b:253), not unconvincingly, that na may in fact rather be an old dual or plural marker, based on the occurrence of na mostly with paired body parts (ma-na 'eyes', ta-na 'hands) or uncountable nouns (mi-na 'water'). Based on a few words such as kedamono 'beast' (ke-da-mono 'hair-da-being'), da is often said to be an obsolete variant of na.

Nominative i is rare in the OJ texts and went out of use after OJ, although it is found in some archaic EMJ translation glosses to Chinese texts (kanbun-kundoku glosses). Most examples are found the Imperial Edicts (Senmyoo) which are thought in several respects to preserve archaic language usage. There is no consensus about the main or basic function of OJ i. It has most recently been studied in Miller 1989 and Vovin 1997 who arrive at strikingly different conclusions, namely that iis an old accusative marker (Miller) or an active marker in a vestigial active/passive alignment system (Vovin). What is clear is that i is used to mark certain subjects, mainly in subordinate clauses. It is also traditionally said to be used for emphasis, and there are some occurrences where it appears to nominalise. While at best marginal already in OJ, this particle is of some diachronic interest, as it may be related to the Korean nominative particle i and may also be involved in the lexicalization of the free form of apophonic nouns (see proto-phonology) .

- (5) ipye naru imo i obobosimesemu (M 12.3161)
 'my beloved who is at home will worry about me'
- (6) Nakamaro i itupari kadamyeru kokoro vo motite ikusa vo okosi (S28)

'Nakamaro, having a lying and deceitful heart, raised an army'

1.1.3 Emerging case particles. **Kara** which in later periods replaced *yori* to become the general ablative

² There seems to be only a single compelling counterexample to Vovin's suggestion: momo na pito (NS 11) '100-na-person; 100/many people'.

marker was in OJ coming into use, but was not yet fully established with all the grammatical meanings of ywori. It is thought to be from a noun 'will, way, extent' which was all but obsolete in OJ. It is also found in the conjunctional particle mono kara and had other semigrammatical uses as well. The noun pye 'side, direction' was being grammaticalized as an allative case particle pye, but had in the OJ period not yet acquired that status.

2.2 Focus particles

Focus particles single out a nominal constituent for comment or as focus for emphasis or question. They express no particular syntactic relationship between the marked constituent and its predicate and are thus pragmatic rather than syntactic; they can combine with or substitute for other adverbial particles. These particles may be divided into two groups, topic particles and focus particles proper:

The topic particles **pa** and **mo** are used with little difference from later stages of Japanese: pa to mark a regular or contrastive topic, mo to mark an emphatic topic, 'also, even'. When combining with accusative wo, pa becomes ba: wo-ba.

The focus particles proper mark the focus of emphasis, namo, so, koso, or question, marking the focus of yes/no questions, ya, and WH-questions, ka, respectively. Namo is found only once in Man'yooshuu, but is relatively frequent in Senmyoo; it has the variant namu which replaces namo in EMJ. So has the variant zo which replaces so in EMJ.

- (a) emphatic $namo (\sim namu)$, so $(\sim zo)$, koso (b) interrogative ya (yes/no), ka (WH)
- In doing so they furthermore correlate with specific forms of the predicate within their sentence: ka, ya, so, namo correlate with the Adnominal, whereas koso correlates with the Exclamatory (except when the predicate is an adjective, in which case also koso correlates with the Adnominal). This serves to mark the scope of the focus, i.e. to mark the predicate which belongs (usually as presupposed) with the focussed constituent. This relation of correspondence is in Japanese grammar known as 'kakari-musubi'. Musubi is the traditional name for the conclusion ('tying up') of a sentence. Kakari 'correlation' may here may here be thought of as 'relation opener' (the focus particles are thus traditionally termed 'correlational particles'

(kakari-joshi)). This conception of a kakari-musubi relationship is not dissimilar from that of the topic-comment (or theme-rheme) relation (as opposed to a subject-predicate relation).

(7) ima koso pa wa-dori ni ara-me (K 3)
 now Foc Top my-bird Cop.Inf exist-Conj.Excl
 Now indeed, I am my own'

The focus particles thus have two functions: (a) to signal special types of utterance: emphatic or interrogative, and among the lattter: yes/no or WH. This is reinforced by the use of a special form of the predicate of that sentence. And (b), to flag the point of focus for that utterance type. It should be noted that the focus particles (with the exception of namo) can be used sentence finally. In that case they function like final particles (2.1) and may be said primarily to mark the type of utterance (i.e. only (a) above), or perhaps to focus the predicate.

- 2.3 Restrictive particles form adverbial phrases of
 extent or degree and include: bakari (from pakar- 'to
 measure, plan') 'about, approximately', dani 'at least;
 even', made (ni) 'until; so much that', nomwi 'only,
 solely, alone; entirely, fully, completely', sape 'also,
 further, besides, even, at least', si 'also, even', simo
 'even', sura 'even, at least'. Note that made(ni) which
 in EMJ came to be used as a conjunctional particle
 already in OJ could follow a nominalized clause.
- 2.4 Conjunctional particles follow finite verb forms to form subordinate clauses: gane (only in postposed purposive clauses to volitional main clauses) 'so that', gani 'as if'; mono wo 'although'; mono kara (ni) 'as, while', mono yuwe (ni) 'as, while'; ni 'as, when, because', to (a) concessive '(even) if, although' (in this use, often followed by mo: tomo; cf. here the etymologically related Concessive formant -do(mo)), (b) purposive 'in order to, (so) that'; wo 'as, because'; yuwe (ni) 'because' (also after nouns: 'because of'). Note that gane, gani, and concessive to(mo) follow the Conclusive of the predicate; other conjunctional particles follow the Adnominal.

As mentioned, in Japanese school grammar this class of particle includes a number of verbal inflectional endings, viz. those forming nonfinite verbal forms such as the Gerund formant -te or the Concessive -do.

3. Sentence particles

- 3.1 Final particles occur in utterance final position, after finite verb forms or predicate nominals, contributing to the specification of the modality to the entire utterance: gane optative; kamo (a) interrogative, (b) exclamatory; moga desiderative (after nominals and adverbials, including Infinitive-1 of adjectives); miyu evidential ('it seems, appears'), see 4.2; na (a) prohibitive ('don't!'), (b) exclamatory. As mentioned above, the focus particles so, koso, ka, ya can also function as final particles. So and koso mark the predicate or sentence as emphatic; ka and ya mark the sentence as interrogative (including rhetorical questions used as exclamations).
- 3.2 Interjectional particles form interjections or invocations: ro (rare in the central dialect, but frequent in Eastern), we, wo, ya, yo. It is worth noting that the Imperative verb endings for the secondary verb classes conspicuously originate in interjectional particles (-yo for Central, -ro for Eastern).

4. Complementizer.

The quotative complementizer, **to**, is used after directly or indirectly quoted utterances, sometimes with the verb of utterance omitted, and in naming constructions. In Japanese school grammar the complementizer is grouped with the case particle **to**, but the two are different functions.

- (8) ametuti pa pirosi to ipedo (M 5.892)
 world Top wide Comp say.Concessive
 'although you/they say that the world is wide'
- (9) yamato no kuni **wo** akidusima **to** ipu (NS 75) Yamato Gen country Acc Akizushima TO_2 call 'call the country of Yamato Akizushima'

5. Etymology

Suggestions of more or less plausible internal etymologies for the particles abound in dictionaries, but are in many cases not persuasive, apart from some particles being compounds of others, e.g. si-mo, ka-mo, na-mo. However, a good number of particles do have fairly obvious internal etymologies, indicating that they either were in the process of being, or fairly recently had been, grammaticalized.

5.1 Nominal sources. The directional case particles have conspicuous nominal sources: the ablatives ywori ~ ywo ~ yuri ~ yu derive from the source of the OJ noun yuri

'after (wards)' < pre-OJ *yori, 3 and the emerging allative and ablative particles are based on the nouns pye 'side, direction' and kara 'will, way, extent', respectively. The restrictive particle bakari is from the noun pakari 'estimate, limit' (derived from pakar- 'to measure, plan'). The conjunctional particles yuwe (ni), mono wo, mono kara (ni), mono yuwe (ni) incorporate the nouns yuwe 'reason', mono 'being, thing', kara 'will, way, extent', in most cases optionally adverbialized by ni the Infinitive of the copula. As in later stages of Japanese, conjunctional particles are frequently grammaticalized from full or dependent nouns, usually optionally adverbialized by the copula Infinitive ni.

It is most likely that other particles have nominal sources which we can no longer recover with the same amount of certainty, e.g. made(ni). It is optionally adverbialized by the copula Infinitive and already in OJ it could follow clauses with the predicate in the Adnominal form; in EMJ, it came to function as both terminative case particle and conjunctional particle ('until'). As a nominal source, matwo 'target' comes to mind, but the phonological derivation is not straightforward.

5.2 Verbal sources.

The restrictive particle si most likely derives from the ancestor of se- 'to do'. A single particle, the final particle miyu, derives from a finite verb form, viz. the Conclusive of miye-, the passive of mi- 'to see'. Traditionally miyu is not classified synchronically as a particle, but it should be, as (a) it follows the Conclusive of verbs (Infinitive-1 of adjectives), and (b) it is only found in the form miyu, not in any other inflected forms, in this function. It was presumably grammaticalized from biclausal or bisentential constructions.

5.2.1 Copula. A number of particles had been or were in OJ in the process of being grammaticalized from a form of a copula: no, ni, tu, and to all functioned as particles and as forms of a copula at the same time; see copula.

³ In order to account for the four OJ variants, a shorter pre-OJ variant, *yo, must be assumed, reflecting either shortening or that *yori itself originally is bi-morphemic *yo-ri. Mid-vowel raising gave *yori > OJ yuri, *yo > OJ ywo. The remaining two OJ forms, ywori and yu,

would then be analogical, based on yuri ~ ywo.

5.2.2 Roots of other verbs. Wo which functions as an Accusative case particle, a conjunctional particle, and an interjectional particle was likely grammaticalized from the root underlying the existential verbs wor- (< *wV-r-) and wi- (< *wV-i). The focus particles koso and so are from the roots of the verbs -kose- (< *kCsC-i) a defective optative auxiliary verb and se- (< *sC-i) 'to do', respectively. Note that both koso and so are used as (vestigial) forms of the two verbs apart from their use as focus particles; see further **verb diachrony** about the use of bare verb roots as forms.

5.3 External etymology

The external etymology of OJ particles has mainly been studied within an Altaic, or Japanese-Korean, comparative framework. However, particles are short words and the risk of chance resemblances is therefore not small. More importantly, it is a fact which is not usually addressed explicitly in comparative studies that no significant subset of particles within OJ represents an inherited system. This is well illustrated by the case particles of which only qa, i, and rwo lack a transparent internal source, as opposed to wo, no, tu, ni, ywori, to, na, kara, pye whose grammmaticalizatuion from other parts of speech is either documented or easily recoverable. This suggests that comparison of individual particles with forms in other languages has to be interpreted with caution. Recently, however, some comparative studies have added to the evidence which relates Japanese and Korean (Martin 1990, 1991; Frellesvig 2001).

References: i: Miller 1989, Vovin 1997. wo: Shibatani 1990:333ff. Genitives: Hirata forthcoming. ni, no, to, tu and grammaticalization from copula: Frellesvig 2001. Focus, kakari-musubi: Takeuchi 1999:169ff, Ohno 1993, Whitman 1997, Wrona 2003, Hendriks 2000. External etymologies: Miller 1971, Murayama 1957; Martin 1990, 1991, Frellesvig 2001.